Mysore

1896-97

File No - 20

Sl No - 1-16

Section XIII

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1896-1897

~~19~~ .

(Miscl)

Section XIII

File No. 20

Serial Nos. 1-16

Papers Filed.

Month ~~September~~ 1907.

SUBJECT.

Sanctions the restoration of the para pathayagara post to one Rudrappa who was formerly the said above incumbent of the Sri Ranganatha Siddeshwara Temple near Avvereballi in Closepet Taluk &c.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Subject.

Re-appointment of Rudrappa as Parpattegar of the Revana Siddheswara temple near Averahalli, Closepet Sub. Taluk.

LAST REFERENCE —

Petition Letter No. 1732 dated 3rd November 1904, from the said Rudrappa.

The temple of Revana Siddheswara in the Closepet Sub Taluk is endowed with a cash Jasdik of Rs 11-10-0 a year. It also derives a good income in the shape of Kanikes, contributions &c

As the institution was not being managed properly, the Govt in Pro: No 452-3 Muzt 20-96, dated 1st October 1896, sanctioned the entertainment of a parpattegar on Rs 6, 2 pujaries on Rs 4 each and a sweeper on Rs 2 per mensem, and directed that the offerings made by the devotees should be dropped into the Govt

or collection-box, and the amounts thus offered credited to the funds of the institution.

In September last, Yekarama Yogeswara, the sole Dharmadarsi of the temple, brought to the notice of Govt that the Archaks of the temple agreed to pay to the temple a specified sum, if as before, they were given the management of the temple., He also stated that the above ~~xxxx~~ arrangement was desirable in the interests of the temple as, on account of plague, the income from Kanikes &c had been greatly reduced. Thereupon the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District was requested to furnish certain information in regard to the income &c of the temple.

But, before the receipt of a reply from the Deputy Commissioner, the Dharmadarsi petitioned the Govt stating that a Parpattegar on a salary of Rs 6

x Dis. B
& No 1165 & 20-9-1904

was unnecessary for the temple which had limited resources and that as the pujaries thereof had agreed to pay annually a sum of Rs 125/- to the temple after defraying the cost of daily worship and extraordinary utsavams therein, ~~[illegible]~~ provided that the entire management of the institution is left in their hands, the same may be sanctioned.

On this petition, the Dewan was pleased to endorse as follows:-

"I think this may be done. This "Dharmadarsi wants to retire Probably "the Parpattegar is a luxury and maybe "dispensed with. Archaks seem interested "and the altered system proposed maybe "given trial for a couple of years and "the result reported."

Orders were accordingly issued in Govt Pro No. 1285-6 dated 1st October 1904 Inwrt 20-96 dispensing with the services of the Parpattegar and the same was communicated to the --

Dharmadarsi by means of an endorsement. It is against this order of Govt that Rudrappa, the thrown out Cacke Parpathegar has now appealed to H. H. the Maharaja In the appeal petition, he says that ever since he was entertained in 1896, he has was working satisfactorily and that he was showing an average annual income of Rs 550/- against an expenditure of Rs 270, and prays that, as he has no other means of livelihood, he may be restored to his old appointment.

This petition has been referred to this Department, by the Private Secretary, for disposal.

Submit for orders.

15/11/04

Some time petitions one 1798 dated 5th November 1904 on the same subject from several devotees of the temple, has been received to the address of this Office —

submitted
15/11/04

The Petns request that the order of 1st Oct 1904 may be cancelled and

and the old order of things restored.

The next order of 1st Dec. 1904 was issued under the orders of the Dewan and it was suggested that the altered system might be given a trial for a couple of years and the result reported"

Orders are solicited as to the replies to be given to the Petns"

T Chandrasekara Rao
30/11/04

Subt to the Dewan through the 2d Pr.

186
1.12.04.

After a careful consideration of all the points bearing on the subject I am of opinion that the order of October 04 may not be rescinded. The temple is endowed with a cash "Tasdik" of Rs 11-10-0 p.a. and the annual receipts collections &c are about Rs 530 (Vide ½ - 6 letter 12th 1.

The establishment is Rs 18 p.m. or Rs 216 p.a. annum salary Rs 64 per Senior &c.

A munshi for Urdu & Persian work & the duty mentioned in G.O. 17-D-04 is required to the assistant-surveyor and it is proposed to the following model also in the Dn/96 when the temple accounts may remain & Sant-Atma is to be on Rs 200 and as Sarangpo at the existing station temple, headquarters with an urgent-last this amount is to Rs 2000. on Rs 17 working the Sarangpo accounts for the last-7 years on an average of Rs 240 p. annum last and Rs 120 to station the Surg. The arrangement—

Mysore Secretary's remarks:-

I am very sorry for the delay in this case.

The 2nd Councillor has remarked at page 6 above that the amount saved is not Rs 120/- per annum as stated by me in my Note of the 17th August 1904, but that it should be Rs 240 per annum. The fact is that what I wrote was based upon the actual entries which I saw personally in the temple accounts kept in the Sub Taluk, while the Councillor's estimate of the savings was ~~correct~~ arrived at by taking the average of 7 years. The entries which I saw related probably to plague years. Anyhow, the sum of Rs 2000 shown as the accumulated savings is correct. ~~figures~~

The new system of leasing out the collection of Kanikes was introduced under the orders of 1st October 1904, and was sanctioned tentatively

for two years, subject to report at the end of that period. As the period is near its end, I beg to submit draft endorsements in reply to the two Petitions under disposal, to the effect that the subject will be considered after the close of the period prescribed

12076

Submitted to the Dewan
thro' the 2nd Councillor
Rec'd 14.3.06.

Chandu Lall Sao
14/3/06

I have, however, to modify my opinion already recorded. I am now of opinion that a delay of 15 months, in sending up the above petition to the Secretary of State

15/3/06

11907
16/3/06

Rec'd 15/3/06

I am directed to agree with the Secy. Govt. of the Commissioner in so far as his proposal to be postponed for two years, but it be so. The

Rec'd 19/3/06
21/3/06

Re-submitted to the Secy. to Govt. 15/3/06

Rec'd 20.3.06.
Rec'd 21/3/06

Communication received 22.III.06
Rec'd 24/3/06

11949
Letter to Secy. to Govt. dated 17.III.06

10. 20

Re-subd to the Dewan
with the 2d Mr remark -
Ll
21/3/06

12076
21.3.06

The Dewan's final order on the preceding page is:- "Carry out the view of the Councillor".
As it is necessary to know the details of the Mechalika obtained from the Arehaks under the orders of the 1st Octr 1904 in the first instance -
get a copy thereof from the D'House
Ll 24/3/06

Re-subd to the 2d Mr for perusal of the Dewan's order d: 22d instant.
Ll 27/3/06

The file has not been read in the 2d Mrs house, but has been returned as the Mr is about to leave for Mysore.
Carry out my Order of the 24th instt
Ll 28/3/06

Draft subd
M 31/3/06

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note contd

The Dy. commr was accordingly requested to submit a copy of the Mutchalika and that officer has submitted it under cover of his let. dated the 21st may 1906. On the 9th nove 190 the 8 Archaks of the Sri Ravanasiddeswara Swamy temple ~~have~~ executed it and the details thereof are as follows:—

1) The Archaks should receive the income derived in the shape of Kanikes, contributions etc., and the jewels, and cloths whose value is less than Rs 20/ and the vessels endowed by the devotees should be given over to the temple,

2) The annual Jasdik of Rs 11-10-0 should be given to the Archaks,

3) They should perform the Nityakatle and Nachikatle services, Sevarthas, car-festival etc in accordance with Sastras and consistent with manul and deposit annually a sum of Rs 125/ in the Savings Bank

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

4) They must maintain regular accounts of receipts and expenditure which may be inspected by Govt officers at any time;

5) They must submit accounts to the Taluk office before the 5th of every month;

6) The needful repairs to the institution should be executed from the temple funds; and

7) The disputes regarding the Archaks and others whose office is hereditary will be settled by them.

The Archaks have further agreed to abide by these conditions above set forth for a period of 2 years and state that if they fail to do so, the amount deposited by them may be forfeited to Govt and that they shall be liable to pay up the damages.

The period of 2 years allowed to the Archaks expires on the 31st October 1906.

Submitted for orders

KS 6/6/06

Issue the endt put up below

7/6/06

MGCPB—2,500—1-8 05

M. 84]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note continued.

The endorsement was received [illegible]
issued under No. 5780, dated 12th June 1906.
In it, the late Parpathegar of the Perur
Siddeswara temple was informed that
as prescribed in the Govt. Order of the 1st
October 1904, the newly appointed Agent
must the collection of the income of the
temple & the Archaks would be paid
for a period of 2 years, after which
to be restored to the Parpathegarship would
would be considered on its merits
that period. The residents of Cheyyet were
also given a similar endorsement.

The Deputy Commissioner was
then requested to submit the charges
for in the Govt. Proc. of the [illegible]
on the working of the [illegible]
there. He [illegible]
In it he says that [illegible]

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

maintained by the Archak, the receipts and expenditure for the 20 months from Nov. 1904 to June 1906 amount to Rs 793-6-8 and Rs 526-1-0 respectively, leaving a profit of Rs 13 odd a month in favor of the Archak. He however says that those accounts cannot be relied on, as the ~~receipts~~ average income of the temple from 1896 to 1904 when the realizations were very low owing to the prevalence of plague, amounted to Rs 331-8-8. It is moreover, as a Musafirkhana has been constructed in the place, and a car has been newly prepared for the temple, the devotees attending the place are increasing day by day, and the Deputy Commissioner is of opinion that the average income of Rs 331 odd referred to may be safely taken as assured, and that therefore the present system under which the collections have been leased out to the Archak for Rs 125/- is not financially beneficial to the temple

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

He therefore proposes that the present syste-
may be discontinued and the management
of the temple be taken over by Govt. He
further recommends that a sum of
costing Rs 306/- i.e Rs 99/- per month
previously sanctioned by the Board
as proposed by the Assistant Commissioner
may be continuously published in notices posted
for the several boxes
Summary plans.
The Deputy Commissioner is of opinion
that the system as described above
should be continued for the present
definite orders be passed.

The establishment originally sanctioned by Government before the introduction of the present system was as noted below.

One Karnikkan on Rs		8
2 Archakas on Rs 4 each		8
1 Sweeper on Rs		2

File No. .of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

Annually Rs 216

Payment to Agamik annually } 20

Total annual charges 236

The present recommendation contemplates no change in these charges –

As regards "Nityanithi and Hatchukatti", the Dy Assistant proposes that a sum of Rs 70 may be fixed annually, but the Dy Comr says that if the Govt approve of his suggestions further definite proposals will be submitted

Submitted for orders

RS
7/12/06

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:—

The Deputy Commissioner is entirely opposed to the present system of Contract which was introduced temporarily 2 years ago under the orders of the late Dewan at the suggestion of one Rama Yogeswara. I am also of the humble opinion that the temple would be a gainer by reverting to the old system by which the temple affairs were managed directly by the Deputy Amildar with the help of a Parapattegar.

The former establishment shewn on page 15 may be restored and the arrangements

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

brought into ~~force~~ effect immediately.

The Deputy Commissioner may be asked to make the further report promised by him regarding the additional expenditure of about Rs 70/- on account of Nityakatle and Hechukatle, suggested by the Deputy Amildar.

274

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor

Muantabara Rao
21/12/06

I fully concur. Please issue orders accordingly. The former Parpatheyar should be reappointed. I had appointed him when I was in charge of the Bangalore Distt.

Issued 24/12/07

Draft early
21/1/06

MGCPB—2,500—1 8 05

Read 30/11/07.

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

274 Subd with a draft to the Dewan for appl.n. / Ramachandra Rao 7/1/07

3436/16/07

Issue the draft which has been approved by the Dewan

30/1/07

Seen 2/2/07

9/2/07

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note continued.

The draft approved by the Dewan was issued under Govt. Pro. No. 3806-7, dated 4th February 1907. Under it, the system of leasing out the income of Revana Siddeswara swami temple at Aveerahalli in the Closepet Sub Taluk to the Archa was ordered to be discontinued and a system of the management of the temple directly by the Deputy Amildar with the help of the Parpattegar to be revived. Puttanna and Veerabhadrayya, Archaks of the temple have now petitioned to Govt. appealing against the above order. They state that they were managing the temple incurring all the Nityakatle and the Hechukatle expenses and paying the lease amount to the temple in hard times when the prices of provisions were

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

high and (2) that there was no necessity to appoint a separate Parpattegar for the temple. They therefore pray that the management of the temple may be entrusted to them as before, once again.

Subd. with a draft 428
to the 2nd Councillor.
28/3/07

The matter has been already carefully considered and decided. Re-consideration does not seem desirable.

Ellamakkal Rama Rao
24/4/07

I agree
27/4/07

I issue the draft
27/4/07

1732 & 1733

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Mysore, 3rd November 190.4.

SR/

Petition* as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highnes. for the Maharaja of Mysore in the Muzroi Department, Bangalore, for disposal.

No. 911 of 04-05.
908 of 04-05.

By Order,

P. Mahadeva Rao, I.C.S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

* Separate Facing Sheet copy has been prepared and Petition has been affixed to it.



To

H H. the Maharaja Sree Krishna
Rajendra Udaya Raja Bahadur
Ayar.

May it please Your Highness,

I the undersigned most humbly and respectfully beg to approach Your Highness with the following few facts.

I am a native of Closepet having lost both. Hitherto I was working as pupil at Sri Sree Revana Siddheswara Swami Temple the same Taluk. The temple was taken over by the Muzrai Department about 12 or 13 years ago and was then that I was appointed as priest to perform daily worship in the temple and as usual I [illegible] polishing certain brass vessels used in (worshippers) [illegible]

1798

9/11/04

[illegible]

5. [illegible] 1896 [illegible] [illegible]

6. [illegible] 1894 [illegible] 1896 [illegible]

[illegible] 25/11/04.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Mysore 28th March 1905

No. 2330

Encl

Copies

Rs

30/3/05

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3623

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Immigrn Department, Bangalore, for disposal.

No. 1666 of 05

By Order,

I. C. S.

Private Secretary

to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3823

no. 1666

To

His Highness The Maharaja Sree Krishna Raja
Wodeya - Bahadour.
Mysore

May it please your Highness

I The undersigned most humbly and respectfully beg approach your Highness with the following few facts

I am a native of Closepet, Bangalore District Hitherto I was working as Parapathegar of Sree Revana Siddeswar Swamy Temple in the same Taluk. This Temple was taken over by the Muzaray Department in 1896 and it was then that I was given the place of Parapathegar From time immemorial the temple and its income had been monopoly by certain previleged Archakas (worshippers) without any supervision of the Government. This State of Things was a source of much distress to the devotees and lead to the delapedated Condition of the temple The people of various Taluks made a petition unanimously to Mr. A. Srinivasa Charlu the then Muzray Secretary

PS
Recd
7.3.05

As a Consequence the Muzray Department took over The management of the temple in 1896 and made immediate arrangements to put an end to the disordered state of the temple. I was then appointed a permanent parapathegar Hitherto I worked to the satisfaction of my superiors. I showed an average income of Rs. 650/- for the last 8 years The annual expenses of the establishment amount to Rs 270/- And thus there is clearly an annual savings of about Rs 280 And as a matter of fact, I have now shown a sum of Rs as the net savings for the past few years in a place, where the former Archikas had not shown more than Rs of the savings a road was newly opened and a car is now prepared after the completion of which the income will nearly doubled. When such was the case the Archakas

order D/ 1st October 1914 That the income of the temple should be formed and for an annual sum of Rs. 120/- Hence my services as parapathegar have been dispensed with

I further beg to state I have a large family of souls entirely depending on my support and no means of livelihood other than the post which I am now unfortunately deprived of.

I had given an application of the above matter on 3rd november 1906. to your kind honour for which I was given an endorsement that my petition has been sent to the Mudaliyar Secretary, for disposal, for which the matter is not yet disposed.

I therefore fervently pray your highness will be good enough to consider the order and restore me to my old place.

Praying for your Highness' Continued health and prosperity.

I beg to remain
Your Highness'
Most humble Servant.

Dated 11th March 1915
Colombo

MR

SL 4

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
Dated, Ootacamund, 12th August 1905

No. 351/1

Refers
Ks
16/8/05

822
12.8.05

submitted in Continuation
Ks
19/8/05.

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore in the Muzroi Department, Bangalore, ~~for~~ in continuation of this office No. 1086 dated 3rd Nov. 1904.

No. 226 of 05-06.

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.

822
12.8.05

శ్రీ సుబ్బారాయశర్మ చెయ్యవలసినవి మహారాజశ్రీ కృష్ణరాజేంద్రవర్తయులవారి పట్టభద్రోత్సవ

కు జాబుంనిధానంగల్గిన:-

పింగళూరుదిస్ట్రిక్ట్ కేన్లాసోప్యాకింగ్ చదలవాడకాల్వగ్రామం. దేవతాసంస్థాన్ శ్రీవేంకటేశ్వరస్వామివారి

దేవస్థానదర్శనపొరుపక్షకారనాగిరెడ్డి బుచ్చిరుద్రప్పనకు అక్షయంశేషు జాస్తాచియువర

సంనిధానదర్శనకుడరకేల్చవూరికొన్నపుల్లవదేవనందరచే:-

మోతీకంఠదేవస్థానపు మొదలు అభివృద్ధికరస్థలంశ్రీదర్శనార్థమై శ్రీదేవస్థానపరిపుష్టిత్వ

యు ప్రకారదపరిపాలనచేయుచు అల్లిబలకే-వరవలానదర్శనమై అల్లిసంఅభి-

వృద్ధిఅనుభవిగుక్తులూ. దేవస్థానకే-బలకే- భక్తాదిగళ్లగే తొందరకోవడకుక్తులూ

యిద్దువ్యందఅనేకమనభక్తాదిగళ్లు యుక్తరాయిలాభసేశ్రీ-తెలిగళ్లాగిద్దరు॥రా॥

ఎ॥శ్రీనివాసాచార్లుయవరిగే అభివృద్ధిపరుచేస్థాంకర్తదింద సదరిల్లయవరు సంశ్ల.

పింగళూరుదిస్ట్రిక్ట్ రిచ్ప్స్ట్ట్రక్టమోళ్ళనాసాడేబలరవరు ఆగిద్దవు॥రా॥వ.యుం

నరసుంబ్రియ్యరిగాశ్రవరు, సుల్తు. కేన్లాసోప్యాకింగ్ చదలవాడిలో మనాలాఫ్టిగనవరు. ముల్తు

అమలుదారవరు, సదా. 1896నేఇష్టుదేవ్టంబరోమూడియల్లి శ్రీ. బిట్టిక్రిష్టదయు

వంతరిశి ఆల్లినఆస్తిలభగళన్నెల్లాపరాంబరిశి. దేవస్థానసన్న సుబ్బరాయులాభసేకేసదు

కేస్థాంరు నంన్నన్ను ఖాయింపారు పశ్రీ కేలదకే నేమిసిదరు, మానుత్రాలాయిరు.

నంనమేల్పట్టి ఆ ఫీల్డరుగళ్లగే శ్రీమత్ కేలవాది కేలదమూరుక్తి చ్చిసు, నానుసుబరుపక్ష

గళిందలూ దేవస్థానద అభివృద్ధియోక్కుముఖ్యకెంజిమిసిదరుపక్ష /కే రూ॥౫౫౦-౦-౦

రూపాయిగళవరిగూ పుల్పత్తిక్కమూరుక్తిద్దిసు, దేవస్థానదశిబ్బందిగె విభుదరుపక్ష/కే 270-౦-౦

రూపాయిగళు ఖర్చుమూ॥రూ॥పక్ష/కే సుమారు 280-0-౦ రూపాయిగళవరిగూ,

దేవస్థానదధర్మదకర్తగళిగె విసుక్తాఇల్లు జీర్ణరుదర్శి అభివృద్ధికరపాయిదేగే సన్యాస్తకెకేంరుబంద వ్య

రిందపదరిఅభివృద్ధికరు. దేవస్థానదధర్మదకర్తగళిగె జీర్ణోద్ధారకేస్థాంరు 1904నేఇష్టు అకేస్థాం

చుంలాఖాయికు. దేవస్థానదబ్రహ్మోత్సవాదియున్నవరుపక్ష/కే 125-0-౦ రూపాయిగళిగే-

అభివృద్ధికరకేశ్రీ సుబ్బిగె కేశదుంకేస్థాంరు ఇరువర్షదిందనంన్నన్ను కేలసుబందవఖాముఖాతిరుప

వి ఇనాంరదల్లి సుబాసాంవియవరే సుంనిధానకే- ఖా॥ 2-11-1904 రల్లి అభివృద్ధాన్యర్థాకేలాంరవ్యక్తి-

నంనఅభివృద్ధియన్ను ముంద్రరాయిలాబిగే పదవుపాంతిరుపంతే 3-11-1904 నేఇరువ్యంనల్లిబ్బంఠా

న్నేళ్ళకుం అల్ల నీళ్ళ ఆకారుకేకడలోవరిగూ, యూశవి ధర్మాదర్శకులుం, దయపాంలభిన్నవాగి,

ఖా॥ 2-2-1905 రల్లిసుబ్బిరాయిలాబిగూ, ఖా॥ 6.3.1905 రల్లిశ్రీమాంసుంరకు దివాంచిపడ

దుల్పరవరిగూ, ఖా॥ 14-3-1905 రల్లి పుబాసాంవియవరసుంనిధానకేల్బాశ్రీమంలభసుంనిధాన

నకేల్బా ఖా॥ 2-7-1905 రల్లిశ్రీమంలభవరసుంనిధానకేల్బాశ్రీకరిలిఅభివృద్ధిగళు ఇణాయ్దాగిదే వ్య

రాగుల్బా నంనగ్రహభారదకేలేయియదయూశవి ధర్మాదర్శకులుం, దయపాంలభిన్నవాగినాన్నే

5-6. ముక్తల్బా బలసుంపారియూదనాసుంబ్రిపరనకే-శాంగ్రహిస్తగ్రోళుంఖాం. దీపాంగళ్లే

సరుఖ్తాసాంశ ఖాలదల్లియూ, ఖాపందవరవళు, ధర్మప్రభుగళు, దయంపాంలభిసుంరస్రకుంకు

న్నేళెదురుసోళ్లిశ్రీమల్బా రుమ్మిరుక్తేనే. కేలసగరర్కబుసఅడుకాలదల్లి కేలసగళ్ల కేలసం

దు, నంనఖాయుంకేలసవన్న నన్నగికేలారిశి కేల్బాయ్ల ఖాపాఠశాలేకాశిక్షేయుక్తేనే-

మహాసామీ, — ఖా. 8.8.1905

2 Drafts

Sl 5

Endorsement - granted to Ramanna and other residents of Closepet in reply to their petn Regd no 1798 of 5th Novr 1904, praying that the Parpattyadi appointment may be restored in the Revana Siddeswaraswamy temple and the orders of Govt of the 1st Octr 1904 reconsidered.

The Petitioners are informed that the new system under which the collection of the income from Kanikes in the Revana Siddeswaraswamy temple has been leased out to the Archaks will be tried for the period of two years prescribed in Govt Pro:- No 1285-6 Mun.F. 20-96 of the 1st Octr 1904, & the subject considered after the expiry of that period

By order

Secy Mun. Dept

14/3/06

(2 drafts)

Sl 6

Endorsement framed by

B. Rudrappa, late Parpattegar of the Revenue Siddeswaraswami temple Closepet Taluk, in reply to his memorial dated 3rd November 1902 addressed to H.H. the Maharaja, praying that he may be restored to the Parpattegarship of the temple

---

Petr is informed that the new system under which the collection of the income from Kanikes in the Revenue Siddeswaraswami temple has been carried out at Anchatti, will be tried for the period of three years prescribed in Govt Order No 1285-6 Mucha 7 20-96 dated 1st April and the subject cannot

No. 5780 S/12 6 06

after the expiry of that period

By order

Sd

0.5781. Secy: Mines Dept to-

Copy to the Secretary Bangalore
for info the the request that a
report called for in the
1st Pro: Smoked a bone
at a very early date
~~in the Finance Dept as soon as~~
~~the~~ together with information as to whether the system has worked
~~it becomes due~~ satisfactorily, what income the Archaks has
derived during the last
two years, and what is
the Dy Comrs opinion
about continuing
the new system
after the Expiry
of the present Lease

By order

Sd

Secy Mines Dept

Sd
7/5/02

S16

5380.

Vide Circular
No 5779 Dt
12-6-06
23/6/06

M/11c

Muz 1 17

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 --190

817

From

L ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated 2nd August 06.

Despatched

Received

General No. 817
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Govt. Bro. No 1285-6 dy 15th oct 06 requesting the submission of a detailed report in re the management of the affairs of the Devasthana Siddeswara Swamy Betta in Closer [illegible]

Bg.

No 5781 dated 12th June 1906 requesting the

Institution under the new System introduced as per orders conveyed in the Proceedings cited above, with certain additional information ~~on the matter~~ subsequently called for on the subject in this office ~~to~~ Reminder No. 5781 S/12 ~~th~~ June 06

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request the favor of a very early reply ~~to~~ on the ~~matter~~ subject.

By order,

Dst) 1/8/06

Secretary

Ds
31/7/06

1906-07 Sl 8.

From The Dy-Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Dated 7th
Despatched 9th August 1906

Received

General No.
Jud. No. 35

Enclosure No



Mug-Secy's No 817 dated
2nd Instant

States that the report-re-
-garding the management
of the affairs of the Revana
Siddeswaraswami temple
by the Archak of the Institu-
-tion will be made on re-
-ceipt of information from
the Asst Commr of Channapd-

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 7th August 1906

M.S No

From, H. H. Sparkes Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 317 dated 2nd Instant, calling for report regarding the management of the affairs of the Revana Siddeswara swami temple by the Archaks of the Institution, I have the honor to state that report from the Assistant Commissioner of Closepet has not yet been received, to whom an urgent reminder has this day been issued and on receipt of it, the report will be submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

H. H. Sparkes
Dy. Commissioner.

mvk

the above, has the honor
to state that, as the
period of ~~managing the~~ the management of the
temple affairs through
the ~~agency of the~~ archaks,
~~has~~ expired with the
31st Oct 1906, the detailed
report called for in the
Govt. Pro No 1285-6 of
1st Oct 1904, together
with his opinion in the
matter of continuing
the existing arrangements or otherwise
should be submitted for the
~~information and~~
orders of Govt. at a
very early date.

By order,

Yr 9/11/06

Secretary

Rs
7/11/06

1906-07 SR 10

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to Govt
of Mysore, Inspector
Department.

Dated 23rd } November 1906.
Despatched 24th }
Received

General No.
MS No. 89.

Enclosure No. (File V.)

Reporting on the working of the System hitherto sanctioned regarding the management of Revana Siddeswara Swami temple affairs in the Closepet Sub Taluk

1/
6/11/06 INWOFFICE 26.59 26-xi-06

8/11/06

Have cautioned the receipt.

M. S. No: 89. Bangalore District

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Bangalore 23rd November 1906.

From,

H. H. Sparkes Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District.

To,

L. Anantaswami Rao Esqr: B.A.

Secretary to the Government of

H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore

Inspection Department.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 5781 of the 12th June 1906, calling for a report on the working of the system tentatively sanctioned by Government in their No. 1285-6, Insp. F. 20-96 of the 1st October 1904, in re - the management of Revenue Siddeswara Swami temple affairs Closepet Sub Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the vernacular correspondence ending with Closepet Division Officer's

Yadast

yadast No: 513 of the 17th/24th September
1906 and to state as follows:-

2. From a statement Sub-
mitted by the Amildar and en-
closed in the file it appears that
for a period of 20 months from
November 1904 to June 1906, the
realization as per accounts main-
tained by the Archak is stated to
be Rs 793-6-8 and the expenditure
including the gutta due to Govern-
ment Rs 526-1-, thus leaving a
net profit of Rs 267-5-8 in favor
of the Archaks. The monthly income
comes up to Rs 13-11-9 besides
the gain they might have derive
in the form of jewels offered as
Kanikes. The Deputy Amildar
reports that the accounts main-
tained by the Archaks cannot in
his opinion be regarded as true
and accurate. From the State-
ment submitted by him showing
the receipts of the temple for the
several years from 1896 to 1904,
before the introduction of the
present system it will be seen
that the average net income
was

was Rs 331-8-8. It is remembered that this period includes the time when owing to the first outbreak of the plague the realisations fell suddenly to a low figure. It is learnt that the number of devotees attending the place is increasing day by day.

3. By the construction of a Musafirkhana and the preparation of a car, the place is made more attractive. For these reasons the average net income stated above may safely be taken as assured.

4. According to the present arrangement the Archaks are to pay to the Government a yearly gutta of Rs 125, appropriating the whole proceeds themselves.

5. Financially it cannot be said as beneficial to the temple interests, though it must be admitted that the Government have no concern regarding the management &c. It is also to be noted that if the old system

to
be a fixed and constant quantity
while the income is fluctuating
and cannot technically be termed
as sure. But the continuity of
the previous years' income may
very well be regarded as considerably
ensuring the average income
of the future. Further, the business
of managing the income and
expenditure in such an import-
ant place where a large number
of devotees congregate on the
system of contract does not
seem to prevail anywhere else.
It is also doubtful if the pilgrims
are in any way placed in a more
advantageous position by this
arrangement.

6. I therefore propose that
the present system may be dis-
continued and the management
be taken over by Government
The establishment proposed by
the Deputy Auditor which seems
quite reasonable may be enter-
tained. The scale of fees to be
paid

paid for the several Utsavams may be Conspicuously published in Notices posted on several places, and a register may be kept in which the devotees may be requested to enter what they pay so that the Collections may be checked and duly credited to the Treasury once a week or so. This system may be expected to considerably minimise any malpractices on the part of the Parpathegar and others.

7. If you approve of the above suggestions further definite proposals will be made.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

for Deputy Commissioner.

Draft as ter

Murrai

Sl 11

Read again :—

Draft appd by the Dewan (vide Order on the Office Note) JR Issue 30/1/04

JP 1/2

(1) Govt Pro. No. 452-3 Murtt 96 dated 1st October 1896 sanctioning the entertainment of an establishment consisting of a Parpattegar on Rs 6/- per mensem & others for the better management of the Revana Siddheswara temple on the hill near Avenahalli, Closepet Sub Taluk.

Read :—

(2) Govt Pro. No. 313, Murtt 96 dated 3rd September 1900, sanctioning the increase of pay ~~of the Badge holders~~ of the Parpattegar of the said temple from Rs 6 to Rs 8/- per mensem

(3) Govt Pro. No 1285 C Murtt 96 dated 1st October 1904

dispensing with the services of
the Parpattegar and leasing out
the collection of the income of
the temple to the Archaks annually
for Rs 125/- as an experimental
measure for a period of 2 years

(4) Petition Regd no 5380, dated
10th June 1906, from Rudrappa,
the thrown out Parpattegar, praying
for re-instatement in his office

(5) Letter no 89, dated
23rd November 1906, from the
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore
District, reporting on the working
of the system tentatively sanctioned
in the Govt Order of the 18th Oct 1904
read above, regarding the leasing
out of the temple collect

Order thereon. — No. 3806-7 W/4 2.07
Encl. on file

The temple of Revana
Siddheswara on the Hill near

Avvaballi in the Closepet Sub Taluk is endowed with a cash Jasdik of Rs 11-10-0 a year. It derives a good income in the shape of Kanikes, contributions etc. from devotees.

2. Owing to complaints of mismanagement of the temple, the Govt in the first of the Proceedings read above sanctioned the entertainment for the temple. of a Parpattegar on Rs 6/-, two Archaks on Rs 4 each and a Sweeper on Rs 2/- for menseu and an Agamik on Rs 20/-; ~~for the temple~~, and directed that the offerings made by the devotees should be dropped into a Golaka or collection box and the amounts thus collected to credited to the funds of the Insp.

3. In pursuance of the above

order, one Rudrappa, ~~a dismissed~~
~~typewriter and~~ a devotee of the
temple was appointed Parpattegar
and owing to the interest taken
and the increase in the receipts
shown by him, the Govt were
pleased to sanction the increase
of his pay to Rs 8/- per mensem
in accordance with the recom-
mendation made by the
then Deputy Commr., Mr K. P. Puttannaiah.

4. In September 1904, however,
on the representation of Yekorama
Yogeswara (the sole Dharmadarsi
of the temple) that a parpattegar
was unnecessary for an Institn
which had limited resources
and whose income had been
greatly reduced owing to the
advent of plague, and that
it would be beneficial if the

management of the temple
was entrusted to the Archaks
thereof on their paying, as
agreed to by them, a sum of
Rs 125/- annually to the temple,
after defraying the cost of
daily worship and extraordinary
Utsavams therein, the Govt
directed in their Proceedings of
the 1st Octr 1904, read above,
that the services of the Parpattegar be
~~to be dispensed with the services~~
dispensed with and that the ~~the~~
~~of the Parpattegar and towards~~
collection of the temple income
~~and the income of the collection~~
be leased out
~~of the temple~~ to the Archaks
on payment of the aforesaid
amount as a ~~temporary~~
measure to be tried for
a period of 2 years. ~~It is~~
against this order that
Rudrabhatta, the Archaka and
Parupattegar, [illegible]

order, praying for his restoration he has

5. The temporary period having expired by the 31st October 1906

& ~~has also~~ ~~appointed a report in office~~

letter, the Deputy Commissioner

and on has submitted a report

and the ~~Court~~ as to how the new system

shown ~~sanctioned above has~~ worked during the 2 years in

heads ~~in it~~, He states that according to

of his the accounts furnished by

in a the Archaks, the receipts and

new expenditure ~~for~~ of the temple

then for the 20 months from Nov. 1904

to June 1906 amounted to Rs 793-6-8

on th and Rs 526-1-0 respectively, leaving

Yogi a profit of Rs 13 odd a month

of th in favor of the Archaks ~~He is~~ but

was ~~however of opinion~~ that those

wh accounts cannot be relied on,

an as the average income of the

pre institn from 1896 to 1904, when

adv the realisations were very low

it owing to the prevalence of plague

amounted to Rs 331-8-8 per year.

He adds ~~Moreover,~~ Mod- as a nursafirkhana has been newly constructed in the place, and as a car has been newly prepared for the temple, the devotees attending the Insta. are increasing day by day, and ~~the Deputy Commissioner is of opinion~~, that the average income of Rs 331 odd referred to above, may ^ Mod- be safely taken as assured. ~~and that the system~~ the present system under which the collections have been leased out to the Archak for Rs 125/- is not financially beneficial to the temple, and accordingly ~~He therefore~~ recommends ~~that~~ that the system which was temporarily ~~that system may be discontinued~~ tried may be discontinued, and the management of the

In these circumstances he is of opinion Mod-

~~his discontinuance~~

by Govt. He further recommends that an establishment and other charges ~~cost of~~ Rs 30/- ~~or Rs 30/- for annum~~ costing Rs 230/- per annum as was originally sanctioned, may be entertained, and Rs 70/- may be provided for Hechukattles and Nityakattles of the temple, in regard to which he says however he will make definite proposals later on. He also proposes that the scale of fees to be paid for the several Utsavams in the temple may be published and conspicuously posted in several places in the temple.

6 Under the foregoing circumstances, the Govt fully concur in the opinion of the Deputy Commissioner that the temple would be a fairer

by never tried to the old

system by which the temple

affairs were managed directly

by the Deputy Amildar with

the help of a Parpa Hegade.

They accordingly direct that

the former Purushotham,

Rudrappa be reappointed

as Parpa Hegade and the rest

of the former establishment

restored, and the arrangements

brought into effect immediately

the D.C's other proposals are also approved

(3) The Deputy Commr.

is requested to submit the

further report promised

by him regarding the added

expenditure of about Rs 70/

on account of Mitgahatte

and Hechu Hatte expenses

of the temple

(Sd) 7/1/87

Secretary

To

The Dy Commr, Bangalore Dt,

with enclosures.

in Compln Mysore Govt No 2888

ఆర్.ఓ.-2-07

R.O.3808/A/4.2.07

Sl 11

శ్రీ నిరంజన్ శ్రీ ఎల్.ఐ.సి. ఏం
బి రవీంద్ర గవర్నమెంట్ ఎంప్లాయీస్
కోఆపరేటివ్ హౌసింగ్ సొసైటీ

శ్రీ శ్రీనివాస్ కాలనీ శ్రీ నగర్ కాలనీ వద్ద
ప్లాట్ నెం. 1 నుండి 5 వరకు ప్లాట్లు
కొనుగోలు చేయడం గురించి

నీవు పై విషయానికి సంబంధించి
కోరుతూ 1906 సెప్టెంబర్ 10 నాటి దరఖాస్తు
నీవు పంపిన అగ్రిమెంట్ పత్రాలు మా
పరిశీలనలో తేడాలు కనిపించినవి
అవి సరిచేసి

పై విషయానికి సంబంధించి
మీ అగ్రిమెంట్ పత్రాలు మా పరిశీలనలో
తేడాలు కనిపించినవి అవి సరిచేసి
మీ దరఖాస్తు

ಇಂತಿ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಪಾಲನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ ದಾಸರಥಿ ಎಂದು ಸಹಿ

By Order

7/2/07

Secretary Mysuru
Dasaru

6/2/07

ఆ) ఆ ఆ ఆ

నకలు

ఈ బళ్ళు మీరు తీసుకొనిపోవలసి

పైన్ సూచించిన ప్రకారం రాజరవరసాకర్నెల్కో-టీ

మేము తీసుకొని పోయి

కోమ్ పోచెల్లిపట్టపల్లి కోమాకిద్దోళ్ళకు చెరువులో వేసిన దళవనె దళ్ళి

చరాయం మీరు తీసుకొనిపోవలసి—

నేదరిదో వేసిన కోళపట్టి కోళ్ళు విల్లలా ప్రతిం ద

మా అమీ చరూ కాసిరవళ్ళకు చూ కాయి చలబుళ్ళ కాంరపళ్ళయి

పం అనవ వళ్ళకం చలూ - దోళ్ళ వేసిన దళా మాకేబాళన్న ఆజనళరిస

వడిపువపట్ట దల్లని బళ్ళపట్టి మీ పట్టి మీ దలా దవుళ్ళు కాంది నళ్ళన్న

అవరిల్లకు జీనేనిం దవన డిపు దోళ్ళ వేసిన దవం డిసిసాలు 1 కి 125 రూ లో

యి నళ్ళన్నకేమీ డుర్కాబరలూ అవరువట్టి యిరు వడా మూ. నిన్న న్న

రు ఇనీ ఇనీ మిర్కాడిచేమీ రం డశవట్టం బడ్డిం నేవు రళ్ళ 1165 నేనం

రు అజిరవరాం బ్బరిలా యిచ్చి—

అనవళ్ళకా దవసవరిదోళ్ళ వేసిన దచాకరవళ్ళ యిచ్చు బి

వన్న మవళ్ళు బోన్నళ్ళ వేందు దోళ్ళ వేసిన దవల్లన డియు బోళ్ళం దనిళ్ళ కేవట్టిల

మీ బోచ్చట్టి రథమేళ్ళ వమీం దలా దవన్న అజనళరీ అవరపం చళయి జనని రవన బ్బి

డిపు కొ కాసిళ్ళ బాబి మోనేపరా యి వరు నళ్ళు సాలు 1 కి 125 రూ లో మా

యి నళ్ళన్న దోళ్ళ వేసిన దవేళ్ళ నిం స్రోళ్ళ మీరు దుర్కాబరవళ్ళ చిళ్ళ యిదవల లిలా

ఠీకు బరవళ్ళు అవరు నళ్ళం దళు బిళ్ళ కోళ్ళ వేసిన దవం డుకొ బరవళ్ళ కోళ్ళ

కళ్ళదం దు మా వళ్ళకోళ్ళం చనిం బ్బళ్ళ వేసి యూ నిఅచేళ్ళ కోళ్ళం నళ్ళూ

ఠీకు బరవళ్ళ అలా నిదాం బరవు చేసి. చిళ్ళం యు వదూ—

బరాం 5-10-904

ఈ బళ్ళు యజ్ఞ అనంశవా మరాం

కోమ్ పోచెల్లిపట్టపల్లి మజరాం యి తీసుకొనిపోవలసి

టీయం దు నెంపోకి నన్నళ్ళ మా నిన్నళ్ళ

ಉತ್ತಾಹಿ - — —

4) ಊದೀವಳಿದ್ದಿವಳಲು ರತ್ನಪ್ಪ ಪ್ಲೀಲೂಶ್ರ ಸಳಸಿ ಬರು ಳ ದೂ ಶಕ್ಕಳ
ಯಲ್ಲ ವಿಜರಿಳ ಸಾದ ಕ್ಕಾ ಡುಶ್ರ ದೀ ಳ ಚಿಲ್ಲಿ ದ ಮೀ ಆಲಿಲು ಶ್ರ ಪ್ಪ
ಜಿಲ್ಲಿ ದ ಲ್ಲಿ ದೀ ವಶ್ರ ಸ ಳು ಮೂ ಶ್ರ ಭಾ ಸ ಸಾ ಸಿ ಶ್ರ ಪ್ಪ ಲೂ ಲಿ ದಿ ವ
ಜಿ ವ ಅಚ್-ರಿತ್-ಶ್ರ ಮೂ ಶ್ರ ಪ್ಪ ಳ ಸಳ ಸಿ ಜಿ ದಿ ಜಿ ವ ಲಿ ವ ಜಿ ದಿ ತ್-ಲ್ಲ
ಲುಂ ಜಿ ಮಂ ದು ಅಚ್-ರಿತ್-ಶ್ರ ಲೂ ಮೂ ಶ್ರ ಜೀ ವ ದಿ ವ ದ ಲ್ಲು ತುಂ
ಲು ವ ಜಿ ದಿ ಜು ಲೂ ದೀ ವ ದಿ ವ ಶ್ರ ವ ದು ಳು ಪ್ಪ ಜಿಂ ಸ ಲೂ ಸ
ಜೀ ಪ್ಪ ಲೂ ದೀ ವ ಶ್ರ ಸ ಳ ಸಿ ಆ ಜಿ ಪೀ ವ ತ್-ಪ್ಪಿ ವೀ ದ್ದ ವ ವ ಪ್ಪಿ ರಿ
ಜಿ ದಿ ತ್ ದ ಸ ಮೂ ಲ್ಲೂ ಸಿ ಲಾ ಗಾ ಯ್ತಿ ಜಿಂ ದ ಲು ಶ್ರ ಪ್ಪ —

(5) ಊ ದೀ ವ ದಿ ವ ಪ್ಪಿ ವ ದ ಲ್ಲಿ ರಾ ಳು ಯಿ ಲೀ ಗಿ ಲೀ ವ್ಯೆ ವ ಶ್ರ ಪ್ಪ ದ ಳು,
ಶೇ ಸ ಳಾ ಸಿ ಲು ಶ್ರ ವ ವ ರ ವಿ ಸು ಚ ಂ ದಾ ಸೂ ಲ್ಲ ಮೀ ಲ ಸು ಮೂ
ಲೀ ಲೂ ಡಿ ಜಿ ಕ್ಕಿ ತ್-ಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿ ಚ್ಚ್-ತ್-ಲ್ಲಿ ಲು ಕ್ಕಿ ವ ಗ ಳು ವ ಡ್ರಿ ಳು
ಲು ಪ್ಪಿ ಶ್ರ ಲೂ ಂ ಚಿ - ಸಿ ಅ ವ ಶ್ರ ಲು ಲ್ಲಿ ಂ ದ ಡಿ ಲೂ ರ ಡ್ಡು ಡೀ ಲಾ ಡ
ಗಾ ಯ್ತಿ ಳಾ ಲು ಅ ದೆ ಲಿ ಲ ಡಿ ಲೀ ಲೂ ವ ರ ವಿ ಸು ಭಾ ರಿ ಂ ಲೂ ಸಿ ಲಾ ಗ
ಡಿ ಡ್ಡು ಲೆ ಂ ಬಾ ಳಿ ಲ ಂ ದಿ ಸ ರಾ ಸಿ ಜೀ ವ ರ ಮೀ ಲಿ ಪ್ಪ ತ್ತಿ ಲು ಂ
ಲು ಳ ರ್ಶ್ ರ ದ್ಭ ಯಾ ಪಂ ದ ಲೂ ಪಿ ಂ ಗೂ ಪ್ಪಿ ಕ್ಕಾ ಡಿ ತ್-ಲ್ಲ ವ ಡಿ
ಂ ಡಿ ಲು ಂ ದಿ ಶ್ರ ವ ದು ಚಿ - ತ್ತ ಪ್ಪಿ ಳೀ ದ್ದ ಪ್ಪಿ ಳೀ ಲು ಶ್ರ ತ್ತಿ —

(6) ಊ ಡಿ ಜಿ ಬಿಂ ಗೂ ಪ್ಪಿ ಕ್ಕಾ ಡಿ ತ್-ಲ್ಲ ಳ ಲಂ ಳು ವ ಡ್ಡಿ ಕೆ ಲಂ
ಬ ಶ್ರ ತ್ತಾ ಲು ಶ್ರ ವ ಪ್ಪ ಳ ಗು ತ್ತಿ ಗಿ ಕ ಪ್ಪಿ ಳೀ ಲೀ ಲು ಂ ದ ಆ
ವಿ ಯೂ ಗಿ ಶ್ರ ಡಿ ಳು ವ ದಾ ಗಿ ಂ ದು ಕೌ ಶ್ರ ತ್ತಿ ಲು ಳಂ ಳು ಪ್ಪಿ ಳ
ಳೀ ವಿ ಲು ಶ್ರ ಲಂ ತ್ತಿ ಂ ಬೂ ತ್-ಲ್ಲಿ ಜೀ ಬಾ ಜಿ ಗಿ ಲ ಡಿ ತ್-ಲೂ ಡಿ ಕೆ ಲೂ
ವ ದೀ ಲಂ ದ ಲಿ ಲೂ ದೀ ವ ಪ್ಪಿ ವ ದ ಲ್ಲಿ ವಿ ಕ್ಕಿ ತ್-ಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿ ಚ್ಚ್-ತ್-ಲ್ಲಿ ಲು ಳ
ಗ ಳು ಜಿ ರಿ ಗಿ ಳೆ ರ ತ್ತ ಲು ಸ್ಪಿ ಲು ಂ ದಿ ಳ ಲೂ ಮೂ ಲೆ ಅ ಳು ಳ ರಿ ಳ ಳ್ಳಿ
ತ್ತೋ ಳ್ಳಿ ತ ಂ ದು ಶ್ರ ಲೂ ಡಿ ಲು ದ ಳೆ ಂ ಗ ತ ತ್-ಳು ಪ್ಪಿ ಶ್ರ ತ್ತಿ ಲೀ ಗಿ ಳ
ಬ ರಿ ಳ ಲು ದ ಲಂ ತ್ತಿ ಲು ಂ ಜೂ ಶ್ರ ಲು ಂ ದ ರ್ಶ್ ಂ ಅ ಪ್ಪಿ ಲು ಗಿ ಲ ಗ ಜಿ ಲ ಲು
ದ ಲ್ಲಿ ಂ ದು ಲ್ಲಿ ಳ ಲೂ ದಿ ಳೆ ಲು ಶ್ರ ವ ದು ಲ ರ ಳ ಜು ಜಿ ಬಿ ಂ ದು ಗಿ ಶ್ರ
ಲು ದ ತ್ತಿ ಂ ತ ತ್-ಲ್ಲಿ ಯೂ ಗಿ ಂ ದು ವ ಳೀ ವಿ ಂ ದು ಳ ಡಿ ಂ ದು ವ ದಿ ಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆ
ಜೂ ವ ಂ ದ ತ್ತಿ ಲು ದ ರ ತ ಲೂ ತ ತ್-ಳು ಪ್ಪಿ ಶ್ರ ವ ರ ಲ್ಲಿ ಂ ದು ಂ ತ್ತಿ ರು ಂ ಗೀ ಂ

Draft for approval

Murrai

Sl 14

Endorsement granted to Puttamma and Veerabhadraiyya, Archaks of the Revana Siddeswaraswami temple at Avenahalli in the Closepet Sub Taluk, with reference to their petn. Regd. No. 4279, dated 6th March 1907, praying appealing against the ~~Govt~~ orders conveyed in Govt. Pro: No. 3806-7, dated 4th Feby. 1907, directing the discontinuance of the system of leasing out the income of the temple to them, and the revival of the old system of the management of the institution directly by the Dy Amildar with the help of a Panchayat.

5125 dt 3.5.07

In reply, the petitioners are informed that the orders appealed against ~~appe~~ have

been passed after careful consideration and the Govt see no reason to modify the same the appeal petition is therefore rejected.

By order,

Secretary

Copy to the Dy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt, in continuation of Govt Pro. no 3806-7, dated 4th Feb 1907.

By Order

Secretary

No. 308 dt. 2.7.10

Regd. A/D

dt. 5.7.10

Secretary

1297

16.9.07

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ.ಎಸ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ ಪ್ರಧಾನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 1959 ಕಲಂ 9(1) ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ನೋಂದಣಿ ಕುರಿತು.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 1959 ಕಲಂ 9(1) ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ನೋಂದಣಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಉಪನಿಬಂಧಕರಿಗೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಉಪನಿಬಂಧಕರು ಸಂಘಗಳ ನೋಂದಣಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುವಾಗ ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಘದ ಷೇರು ಬಂಡವಾಳವು ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ರೂ. (ಒಂಭತ್ತು ಸಾವಿರ) ಇರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಷರತ್ತು ವಿಧಿಸಿರುವುದು ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

(1) ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 1959 ಕಲಂ 9(1) ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ನೋಂದಣಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುವಾಗ ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಷೇರು ಬಂಡವಾಳವು ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ರೂ. (ಒಂಭತ್ತು ಸಾವಿರ) ಇರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಷರತ್ತು ವಿಧಿಸಿರುವುದು ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

Order

I am directed to say that the Governor is pleased to
accept the resignation of Shri [illegible] from the post of
Secretary to Government, Industries Department with effect
from the afternoon of 4th December 1947.

By order,

Sd/- [illegible] 4/12/47
Secretary.